	Case 3:07-cv-04666-JSW Document 8 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 1 of 5
	-
	Winston Kemper B-64476
1	Winston Kemper B-64476 P.O. BOX 8500
2	P.O. BOX 8500 Coalinga, Ca. 93210 P.V.S.P. B-5 129
3	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	HABEAS CORPUS
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	Winston Kemper
	Plaintiff
11	) NO (D7, 411, 75, (PO)
12	vs. 3 NO. C 07-4666 J Sw (PR)
13	James Yates Warden
14	<b>}</b>
15	<b>}</b>
16	<b>\</b>
17	<b>;</b>
18	
19	
20	I had one video interview with Public Defender Brown prior to trial. I am
21	sure I mention my attempts to vindicate myself of this crime through
22	Officer Davidson, whose none appearance at my trial went unexplained in
23	violation of Ohio v. Roberts my other exculpatory statements were
24	9-29-03 see attached parole Hearing 9-29-03 comment and October advice by
25	attorney advising me to be silent. I think for these reasons this con-
26	viction should be reversed under the Griffin - Doyle Rule, see attached
27	page 648. PERJURY UNDER P.C. 118 a is the only way of describing alledged
28	victim pavid Harris testimony in this case. A full evidentiary hearing

Concerning this charge of perjury is requested. Attached pages 11, 2 12, and 13 prove fact there was no investigation and contrary to g Public Defender Browne's position I should have been given a 1538.5 4 and/or 995 hearing, to prove the brown coat was really mine by g diagonal house witness and next door neighbor. This point is d proven by jury request Exhibit I, "Ascertaining true owner hip of 7 coat." Who left duffle bag p. 11, line 20 is an issue requiring g an evidentiary hearing most relevant to 11-19-03 amended complainnt. 9 (Millie, Michael, Reggie, Debra, or K.C. left the duffle bag?) David Harris' perjury is at least 3 fold. ' instances 10 mentioned here are Police Report statements "Harris, 'I turned my 12 head and he ran out back.'" During my trial he stated, "I was 13 casually walking through the house and I saw his profile when he 14 left the house." This perjury went unchallenged by defense 15 attorney (ineffective counsel). (Police Report and Trial \$\beta\$. 133 16 attached). Route allegedly took, see police report. 20

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Public Defender Department never investigated and here I am an actual and factual innocent person incarcerated for life. No explanation given for Officer Davidson's no appearance at trial in violation of Roberts v. Ohio,

Crawford v. Washington. I remember hearing the Judge, Prosecution, and Public Defender discussing dubing Dispatcher description of suspect. They have refused to give my September 29, 2003 tape back, exhibit (2) 602, in which other people in backyard were mentioned. I have requested a <u>full</u> evidentiary hearing at every stage of state review of this appeal which was not granted in violation of Taylor v. Maddox, 366 F.3d 992 (9th Cir.)

The charge of 5,000 dollars (five thousand) for restitution by trial (Poisson TREE) judge was arbitrary, ridiculous, and contrary to P.C. 1202.4(F). See attached Judge and District Attorney reports..."Loss, repair and replacement of broken door frame." This charge also was in violation of the 14th Amendment "equal protection clause" and 8th Amendment "cruel and unusual punishment." Vindictive Prosecution

The explanation given by the prosecutor for submitting an amended complaint violated the criteria established in <u>U.S. v. Goodwin</u>, 102 S.Ct. 2485 ("an individual may not be punished for exercising a protected statutory constitutional right.") The amended complaint filed by the prosecutor was a due process violation of my rights and the direct result of my seeking Marsden Proceedings on November 14, 2003.

It is well established law that to show 'vidictive prosecution' the two prongs that must exist, are <u>one</u> establishment of a prosecutorial stake, and <u>two</u> the reasonableness of the extra charge. In the instant case 1. The <u>stake</u> on the prosecutor's part was Judge Reardon's offer in exchange for a guilty plea on my part, and 2. An objective view of the prosecutor's explanation would have found it <u>unreasonable</u>, unsustainable, and whimsical.

	Case 3:07-cv-04666-JSW Document 8 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 4 of 5 OF CALIFORNIA ) Y OF FRESNO )			
	(C.C.P. SEC. 446 & 201.5; 28 U.S.C. SEC. 1746)			
!	I, Winston Kenger declare under the penalty of perjury that: I am the			
in the above entitle action; I have read the foregoing documents and know the contents thereof and the same is true of my own knowledge, except as to matters stated therein upon information, and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.				
VALLE	EXECUTED THIS 2 DAY OF 5 CP 7 CM 6 C , 2006 AT PLEASANT Y STATE PRISON, COALINGA, CALIFORNIA 93210			
:	(SIGNATURE) Winter Conformation (DECLARANT/PRISONER)			
:	PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL (C.C.P. SEC 1013 (a) & 2015.5; 28 U.S.C. SEC. 1746)			
I, Was Too Kemper, am a resident of PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISON, IN THE COUNTY OF FRESNO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years of age and I am a party of the above-entitled action. My address is: P.O. BOX 8500, COALINGA, CALIFORNIA 93210.  ON September 2, 20 S, I SERVED THE FOREGOING:				
(SET FORTH EXACT TITLE OF DOCUMENTS SERVED) ON THE PARTY(S) HEREIN BY PLACING A TRUE COPY (S) THEREOF, IN A SEALED ENVELOPE, WITH FIRST CLASS POSTAGE THEREON FULLY PAID, IN THE UNITED STATES MAIL, IN THE DEPOSIT BOX SO PROVIDED AT PLEASANT VALLEY STATE PRISON, COALINGA, CALIFORNIA 93210.				
1	SERVED THE FOLLOWING PERSON (S) ADDRESSED BELOW:			
J.S.	DISTRICT COURT			
+50	District Court Golden Gate Ave Francisco, ca. 94102			
500	Francisco, Ca. 94102			
I	DECLARE UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY THAT THE FOREGOING IS TRUE AND CORRECT.			
DATE:_	9-2- ,2008, Winston (OMP) (DECLARANT/PRISONER)			

Cas	e 3:07-cv-04666-JSW Document 8 Filed 09/05/2008 Page 5 of 5	
	UPPIEMENT SheeTS EASE # CO7-4666 JS	i l
$_{1}$ $\parallel$ $$	na enclosed Three Page Front	Sheets
$2 \parallel c$	and about 41 Pages of exhibi	75 were
3  6	art of original appeal I sen	This
4	OURT 8-30-07. The COURT Ped	66269
5	mat appear (excessive Papers)	· 1m
6	resubmitting these 3 Sheets	Be-
7  0	cause they are 1dentical to t	he ones
8  .	raised through out my appo	,
9	EMPHATICITY PAGE 3, lines 1	a .
10	5) / nes 1	- 9 <b>-</b>
11	Thronk y	27
12	danin	
13		
14	9-2-08	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
<b>2</b> 0	0	

3

5